

MINUTES

Board of Directors Meeting # 10
November 12, 2025 – 6:00 pm
Microsoft Teams



Attendance – November 12, 2025

Board of Directors			
At-Large Representatives (Elected)		Present / Regrets	Arrived / Departed
Jonah Greenhut	College of Arts	Present	a: 7:00pm
Vacant	College of Arts		
Yael Lazebnik	College of Biological Science	Present	
Alex Song	College of Biological Science	Present	
Ethan Warren	College of Computational, Mathematical, and Physical Sciences	Present	
Tiffany Mares	College of Computational, Mathematical, and Physical Sciences	Present	
Marcus Aldred-Ganhao	College of Engineering	Present	
Michael Spurek	College of Engineering	Present	
André Rowe	College of Social and Applied Human Sciences	Present	
Samiya Shakeel	College of Social and Applied Human Sciences	Present	
Logan MacInnis	Gordon S. Lang School of Business and Economics	Present	
Hollie Martin	Gordon S. Lang School of Business and Economics	Regrets	
Vacant	Ontario Agricultural College		
Vacant	Ontario Agricultural College		
Vacant	Ontario Veterinary College		
Vacant	Ontario Veterinary College		
Member College Government Representatives (Appointed)		Present / Regrets	Arrived / Departed
Nicole Siglowy	College of Arts Student Union	Present	
Susannah Polack-Finley	College of Biological Science Student Council	Present	
Noel Johnston	College of Engineering and Physical Sciences Student Council	Present	
Vacant	College of Social and Applied Human Sciences - Student Alliance		
Kovar Yu	Lang Students' Association	Regrets	

MINUTES

Board of Directors Meeting # 10
 November 12, 2025 – 6:00 pm
 Microsoft Teams



Reese Fletcher	Student Federation of the Ontario Agricultural College	Present	
Vacant	Central Veterinary Student Association		
Student Organization Representatives (Appointed)		Present / Regrets	Arrived / Departed
Branden Newman	Indigenous Student Society (ISS)	Present	
Acacia Small	Guelph Black Students Association (GBSA)	Present	
Rebecca Hallett	Guelph Queer Equality (GQE)	Present	
Vacant	Guelph Resource Centre for Gender Empowerment and Diversity (GRCGED)		
Joshua Jacinto	International Student Organization (ISO)	Present	
Keira Gayowsky	Interhall Council (IHC)	Present	
Vacant	Guelph Campus Co-op		
Ashley Ames	Ontario Public Interest Research Group (OPIRG)	Present	
Brendon Gallacher	Student Senate Caucus	Present	d: 6:23pm
Ava Augustine	Board of Governors	Present	
Executive (Ex-officio, Non-voting)		Present / Regrets	Arrived / Departed
Nate Broughton	President	Present	
Pawandeep Singh	Vice President Student Experience	Present	
William Coleman	Vice President Academic	Present	
Vacant	Vice President External		

Staff	Position
Christopher Yendt	Chair
Colleen Bovay	Policy & Transition Coordinator
Nikki Tu	Scribe

Guest	Affiliation
Lee Anne Clarke	CSA Business Manager
Lauren Pyne	CSA Chief Returning Officer
Rema Abdullah	CSA Member / Presenter – Muslim Student Association

MINUTES

Board of Directors Meeting # 10
November 12, 2025 – 6:00 pm
Microsoft Teams



Omer Asif	CSA Member / Presenter – Muslim Student Association
Dania Kridli	CSA Member / Presenter – Guelph Palestinian Student Association

*Note: If a Member arrives after the Call to Order, their arrival time (a) is shown above.
If they leave before Adjournment, their departure time (d) is shown.
If no time is shown in the column, they were present for the entire meeting.*

MINUTES

Board of Directors Meeting # 10
November 12, 2025 – 6:00 pm
Microsoft Teams



Summary of Resolutions

10.2.1 Approve the Agenda

MOTION: That the agenda for the CSA Board of Directors Meeting # 10 on November 12, 2025, be approved as printed and distributed.

Motion Carried

MOTION TO AMEND: to reorder the agenda so that items 10.11.1, 10.11.2, 10.11.3, 10.11.4, 10.11.6, and 10.11.7 are moved to directly after 10.4.1.

Motion Carried

AMENDED MOTION: that the agenda for the CSA Board of Directors Meeting # 10 on November 12, 2025, be approved as amended with:

- The re-ordering of the agenda so that items 10.11.1, 10.11.2, 10.11.3, 10.11.4, 10.11.6 and 10.11.7 are moved directly after 10.4.1.

Motion Carried

10.3.1 Ratify College of Arts Student Union Representative

MOTION: that Nicole Siglowy be ratified as the College of Arts Student Union representative on the 2025-2026 CSA Board of Directors, effective immediately.

Motion Carried

10.5 Approval of Past Board Minutes

MOTION: That the Minutes be approved for the following CSA Board of Directors Meeting:

10.5.1	CSA Board Meeting # 9	October 29, 2025
--------	-----------------------	------------------

Motion Carried

MINUTES

Board of Directors Meeting # 10
November 12, 2025 – 6:00 pm
Microsoft Teams



10.11.1 CSA Fall 2025 By-Election Report

(a) Speaking Rights: Chief Returning Officer

WHEREAS CSA Rules of Order, Section 3.3 states that visitors can be extended speaking rights following a motion passed by the Board of Directors;

BE IT RESOLVED that speaking rights be extended to Lauren Pyne, Chief Returning Officer, for a presentation regarding the Fall 2025 By-Election Report.

Motion Carried

b) Receive Fall 2025 By-Election Report

MOTION: That the Fall 2025 By-Election Report, as included in the board agenda package and as presented by Lauren Pyne, Chief Returning Officer, be received as information.

Motion Carried

10.11.2 Director Appointment Process

WHEREAS the CSA conducted a Director Appointment Process in accordance with the guidelines in CSA Bylaw 2;

WHEREAS CSA Bylaw 2 (Electoral) states that the Board of Directors is to hold a secret ballot vote where the successful candidates are determined by a simple majority vote, and subsequently ratified and appointed to the Board;

BE IT RESOLVED that the CSA Board of Directors hold a vote to determine the successful candidates for the following vacancies:

College of Computational, Mathematical, and Physical Sciences	[one vacancy, two candidates]
College of Engineering	[one vacancy, one candidate]
College of Social and Applied Human Sciences	[two vacancies, three candidates]
Lang School of Business and Economics	[two vacancies, two candidates]

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the following successful candidates are ratified as At-Large Representatives on the 2025-26 CSA Board of Directors, effective immediately:

MINUTES

Board of Directors Meeting # 10
November 12, 2025 – 6:00 pm
Microsoft Teams



Tiffany Mares, College of Computational, Mathematical, and Physical Sciences
Michael Spurek, College of Engineering
André Rowe, College of Social and Applied Human Sciences
Samiya Shakeel, College of Social and Applied Human Sciences
Logan MacInnis, Lang School of Business and Economics
Hollie Martin, Lang School of Business and Economics

Motion Carried

10.11.3 CSA Audited Statements 2024-2025

(a) Speaking Rights: Business Manager

WHEREAS CSA Rules of Order section 3.3 states that visitors may be extended speaking rights following a motion to the Board;

BE IT RESOLVED to extend speaking rights to Lee Anne Clarke, Business Manager, to present the CSA Audited Financial Statements;

AND FURTHER to extend speaking time from 10 to 30 minutes for this presentation.

Motion Carried

(b) Approval of CSA Audited Statements 2024-2025

MOTION: That the presentation by Lee Anne Clarke, Business Manager, regarding the CSA Audited Financial Statements for the Year Ended April 30, 2025, be received as information by the Board of Directors;

FURTHER that the CSA Audited Financial Statements for the Year Ended April 30, 2025 be approved by the Board of Directors;

AND FURTHER that the CSA Audited Financial Statements for the Year Ended April 30, 2025 be presented to the CSA membership as information at the 2025-2026 Annual General Meeting.

Motion Carried

MINUTES

Board of Directors Meeting # 10
November 12, 2025 – 6:00 pm
Microsoft Teams



10.11.4 Receive 2025-2026 Annual General Meeting Agenda

Note: The draft Audited Statements document for the year ended April 30, 2025 will be replaced in the AGM agenda package with the final Audited Statements document once received from the auditor following approval from the Board of Directors.

MOTION: that the Board of Directors receive the 2025-2026 Annual General Meeting Agenda as information.

Motion Carried

10.11.5 Appoint General Member to Statement Making Committee

WHEREAS due to a resignation, there are two vacancies for General Members on the CSA Statement Making Committee;

BE IT RESOLVED that the following General Member of the CSA be appointed to the Statement Making Committee for the 2025-2026 academic year:

Yasmin Atiyeh

Motion Carried

10.11.6 Member's Motion: Presentation re. SSI Event

(a) Speaking Rights

WHEREAS CSA Rules of Order, Section 3.3 states that visitors can be extended speaking rights following a motion passed by the Board of Directors;

BE IT RESOLVED that speaking rights be extended to Omer Asif (Muslim Student Association), Rema Abdullah (Muslim Student Association), and Dania Kridli (Guelph Palestinian Student Association) for a presentation; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED to extend speaking time from 10 to 30 minutes for this presentation.

Motion Carried

MINUTES

Board of Directors Meeting # 10
November 12, 2025 – 6:00 pm
Microsoft Teams



b) Receive Presentation

MOTION: That the presentation regarding the Students Supporting Israel event be received as information.

Motion Carried

10.11.7 Member's Motion: Referral to Statement Making Committee re. SSI Event

WHEREAS the CSA-accredited club Student Supporting Israel (SSI) held an event on Wednesday, November 5 titled "Voices of IDF";

WHEREAS this event hosted members of the Israeli Military (IDF) to share their personal experiences and stories;

WHEREAS the Central Student Association's allowance of this event has brought disrepute on our organization and has been condemned by multiple student groups [1], including those personally affected by the actions of the Israeli Military;

WHEREAS a petition against the event received over 3000 signatures [2];

WHEREAS the CSA mandate states that we will 'Represent and amplify the voice of undergraduate students at the University of Guelph,' and 'Contribute to a positive atmosphere of acceptance, inclusion, belonging and safety on campus'[3]; and

WHEREAS a statement released on the csaguelph Instagram on November 5 addressing the event, focused on the event's relation to CSA policies and emphasized the CSA supporting a "respectful" campus environment, perpetuating the idea that the Central Student Association does not care about Palestinian and Palestine-supporting student's concerns;

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Directors receive the attachments as information;

AND FURTHER that the Central Student Association refer this issue to the Statement Making Committee in order to draft a new statement about this event to be made in consultation with affected student groups (including MSA, GPSA and MESA) to be presented to the CSA at or before the CSA board meeting on January 14, 2026.

1. <https://www.instagram.com/p/DQp-ssNDfsv>

2. <https://www.change.org/p/stop-the-voices-of-idf-event-at-the-university-of-guelph>

3. <https://csaonline.ca/about/csa-mandate/>

Motion Carried

MINUTES

Board of Directors Meeting # 10
November 12, 2025 – 6:00 pm
Microsoft Teams



10.15 Adjournment

MOTION: That the CSA Board of Directors Meeting # 10 on November 12, 2025, be adjourned at 10:57pm.

Motion Carried

MINUTES

Board of Directors Meeting # 10
November 12, 2025 – 6:00 pm
Microsoft Teams



Agenda – November 12, 2025

10.0	Call to Order	
10.1	Land Acknowledgement Rebecca Hallett	
10.2	Adoption of the Agenda 10.2.1 Approve the Agenda 10.2.2 Declarations of Conflicts	
10.3	Ratifications and De-Ratifications 10.3.1 Ratify College of Arts Student Union Representative	
10.4	Comments from the Chair 10.4.1 Introductions and Pronouns	
10.5	Approval of Past Minutes 10.5.1 Meeting # 9 – October 29, 2025	
10.6	Executive Committee Minutes	
10.7	Executive Updates 10.7.1 President – November 12, 2025 10.7.2 VP Student Experience – November 12, 2025 10.7.3 VP Academic – November 12, 2025 10.7.4 VP External – Vacant	
10.8	Director Reports	
10.9	CSA Service Update and Report	
10.10	Committee Updates and Reports 10.10.1 Summary of Committee Appointments	
10.11	Business 10.11.1 CSA Fall 2025 By-Election Report 10.11.2 Director Appointment Process 10.11.3 CSA Audited Statements 2024-2025 10.11.4 Receive 2025-2026 Annual General Meeting Agenda 10.11.5 Appoint General Member to Statement Making Committee 10.11.6 Member’s Motion: Presentation re. SSI Event 10.11.7 Member’s Motion: Referral to Statement Making Committee re. SSI Event	
10.12	New Business 10.12.1	
10.13	Announcements	
10.14	In Camera Session 10.14.1	

MINUTES

Board of Directors Meeting # 10
November 12, 2025 – 6:00 pm
Microsoft Teams



10.15	Adjournment	
--------------	--------------------	--

MINUTES

Board of Directors Meeting # 10
November 12, 2025 – 6:00 pm
Microsoft Teams



Minutes – November 12, 2025

10.0 Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at 6:04 pm.

10.1 Land Acknowledgement

Member Rebecca Hallett presented the following Land Acknowledgement:

We acknowledge that the University of Guelph resides on the ancestral lands of the Attawandron people and the treaty lands and territory of the Mississaugas of New Credit, we recognize the significance of the dish with one spoon covenant to this land and offer our respect to the Anishinaabe, Haudenosaunee and Metis neighbours as we strive to strengthen relationships and collaboration with them. Today this gathering place is home to many First Nations, Metis and Inuit peoples and acknowledging them reminds us of our important connections to this land where we learn and work. We do this land acknowledgement not only as a means of acknowledging the true land ownership, but also, as something to remind us throughout our work on a day-to-day basis that we should constantly be striving towards decolonization, as well as the dismantlement of oppressive and unfair systems and the destructive legacy of white supremacy in our nation. We should always keep these notions present in our minds as well as in the actions and decisions we take throughout our day and strive to take meaningful and progressive actions to improve our communities and those who reside within them. It is of the utmost importance that we never succumb to complacency, that we can always strive to improve our community and its relationship to indigenous communities and their concerns. We must stand in solidarity with indigenous communities and listen to their voices and concerns in order to build a more sustainable relationship with our indigenous neighbors and indigenous community members.

10.2 Adoption of the Agenda

10.2.1 Approve the Agenda

MOTION: That the agenda for the CSA Board of Directors Meeting # 10 on November 12, 2025, be approved as printed and distributed.

Moved: Keira Gayowsky

MINUTES

Board of Directors Meeting # 10
November 12, 2025 – 6:00 pm
Microsoft Teams



Seconded: Noel Johnston

MOTION TO AMEND: to reorder the agenda so that items 10.11.1, 10.11.2 and 10.11.3 are moved to directly after 10.4.1.

Moved: Nate Broughton, President

Seconded: Keira Gayowsky

MOTION TO AMEND THE AMENDMENT: to reorder the agenda so that items 10.11.6 and 10.11.7 are moved directly after 10.11.3 as amended.

Moved: Ava Augustine

Seconded: Ash Ames

Member Warren agreed with the original amended motion but disagreed with the amendment to the amendment. He worried other business could be delayed because of this amendment.

Motion Carried

MOTION TO AMEND THE AMENDMENT: to reorder the agenda so that item 10.11.4 is inserted between 10.11.3 and 10.11.6

Moved: Marcus Aldred-Ganhao

Seconded: Noel Johnston

Member Johnston stated that it is imperative we approve our AGM agenda immediately.

Member Ames added that the meeting can go for 5 hours maximum and asked how long it would take to approve the agenda.

The Chair explained that it depends whether or not the board feels satisfied they have exhausted on the individual items. It is the board's due diligence.

The President clarified that we do not need to approve 10.11.4, it is just receiving the AGM agenda as information.

Member Hallett said that as a courtesy to the guests, we should prioritize their time as other matters are not debated, just receiving them.

MINUTES

Board of Directors Meeting # 10
November 12, 2025 – 6:00 pm
Microsoft Teams



Member Johnston stated that he thinks the AGM agenda should still be analyzed due to the importance of the item.

Motion Carried

AMENDED MOTION: that the agenda for the CSA Board of Directors Meeting # 10 on November 12, 2025, be approved as amended with:

- The re-ordering of the agenda so that items 10.11.1, 10.11.2, 10.11.3, 10.11.4, 10.11.6 and 10.11.7 are moved to directly after 10.4.1.

Motion Carried

10.2.2 Declarations of Conflicts

No conflicts of interest were declared.

10.3 Ratifications and De-Ratifications

10.3.1 Ratify College of Arts Student Union Representative

MOTION: that Nicole Siglowy be ratified as the College of Arts Student Union representative on the 2025-2026 CSA Board of Directors, effective immediately.

Moved: Branden Newman

Seconded: Susannah Polack-Finley

Motion Carried

10.4 Comments from the Chair

No comments from the Chair were received.

10.4.1 Introductions and Pronouns

Each member provided their name, pronouns, and role on the Board.

MINUTES

Board of Directors Meeting # 10
November 12, 2025 – 6:00 pm
Microsoft Teams



10.5 Approval of Past Board Minutes

MOTION: That the Minutes be approved for the following CSA Board of Directors Meeting:

10.5.1	CSA Board Meeting # 9	October 29, 2025
--------	-----------------------	------------------

Moved: Noel Johnston

Seconded: Reese Fletcher

Motion Carried

10.6 Executive Committee Minutes

No Executive Committee Minutes were received.

10.7 Executive Updates

10.7.1 President

No Executive Updates were provided. Executive Updates to be sent to the Board following the meeting via email due to time constraints.

10.8 Director Reports

No Director Reports were provided.

10.9 CSA Service Update and Report

No CSA Service Updates or Reports were received.

10.10 Committee Updates and Reports

No Committee Updates or Reports were received.

10.11 Business

10.11.1 CSA Fall 2025 By-Election Report

MINUTES

Board of Directors Meeting # 10
November 12, 2025 – 6:00 pm
Microsoft Teams



(a) Speaking Rights: Chief Returning Officer

WHEREAS CSA Rules of Order, Section 3.3 states that visitors can be extended speaking rights following a motion passed by the Board of Directors;

BE IT RESOLVED that speaking rights be extended to Lauren Pyne, Chief Returning Officer, for a presentation regarding the Fall 2025 By-Election Report.

Moved: Keira Gayowsky

Seconded: Marcus Aldred-Ganhao

Motion Carried

b) Receive Fall 2025 By-Election Report

MOTION: That the Fall 2025 By-Election Report, as included in the board agenda package and as presented by Lauren Pyne, Chief Returning Officer, be received as information.

Moved: Marcus Aldred-Ganhao

Seconded: Noel Johnston

The Fall 2025 CSA By-Election was held in October and administered solely by the Chief Returning Officer due to a vacant Assistant Returning Officer position. All logistics, promotion, ballot creation, and verification were handled by the CRO. Pre-nomination promotion included tabling at the Student Resource Fair and Clubs Days, with nominations open from September 15–24; nine students requested nomination packages, but only three candidates ultimately ran for two director positions.

A candidates' forum was held in the CSA boardroom for the first time, livestreamed on Instagram, and this format will continue due to improved accessibility and organization. Campaigning was limited, with only one candidate submitting campaign materials or completing class talks, contributing to low engagement and failure to meet quorum in both colleges (3.59% and 6.63%). There was also some confusion caused by newly restructured colleges leading to rejected ballots.

Voting ran from October 6–17 with an extension, including an incentive of one of three \$50 visa gift cards. Promotion relied heavily on email newsletters, Instagram, QR codes, and paid canvassing staff, though engagement varied and staffing no-shows prompted a recommendation to create a new temp staff list. Enforcement of campaign requirements will be strengthened for future elections, including adjusted mandatory campaign

MINUTES

Board of Directors Meeting # 10
November 12, 2025 – 6:00 pm
Microsoft Teams



commitments, clearer timelines, possible demerits, and a new optional campaigning workshop.

Additional improvements planned include clearer ballot information about college affiliation, earlier and expanded promotion, earlier scheduling of class talks by the ARO, and continued use of livestreamed forums. One warning was issued for failure to campaign, no infractions or appeals occurred, and although one candidate returned campaign funds late, no penalties were applied due to the lack of quorum. If you have any questions, please feel free to ask.

Motion Carried

10.11.2 Director Appointment Process

WHEREAS the CSA conducted a Director Appointment Process in accordance with the guidelines in CSA Bylaw 2;

WHEREAS CSA Bylaw 2 (Electoral) states that the Board of Directors is to hold a secret ballot vote where the successful candidates are determined by a simple majority vote, and subsequently ratified and appointed to the Board;

BE IT RESOLVED that the CSA Board of Directors hold a vote to determine the successful candidates for the following vacancies:

College of Computational, Mathematical, and Physical Sciences	[one vacancy, two candidates]
College of Engineering	[one vacancy, one candidate]
College of Social and Applied Human Sciences	[two vacancies, three candidates]
Lang School of Business and Economics	[two vacancies, two candidates]

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the following successful candidates are ratified as At-Large Representatives on the 2025-26 CSA Board of Directors, effective immediately:

Tiffany Mares, College of Computational, Mathematical, and Physical Sciences
Michael Spurek, College of Engineering
André Rowe, College of Social and Applied Human Sciences
Samiya Shakeel, College of Social and Applied Human Sciences
Logan MacInnis, Lang School of Business and Economics
Holly Martin, Lang School of Business and Economics

Moved: Nate Broughton, President

MINUTES

Board of Directors Meeting # 10
November 12, 2025 – 6:00 pm
Microsoft Teams



Seconded: Keira Gayowsky

Motion Carried

Member Johnston asked whether there would be an opportunity to privately discuss candidates' responses as he was concerned about the appropriateness of holding such discussions in public since the candidates are not board members and may not be ratified.

The PTC explained that as is consistent with past practice, once candidates finish answering questions, they will be moved to a breakout room so the board can discuss and vote without candidates present.

Member Warren inquired if the guests should also be moved to the breakout rooms.

The President stated that the board typically does not move in-camera for this procedure, but the board has this option.

Member Greenhut asked the candidates on why they are running the process now if they did not run in the by-election. If they ran in the by-election, what did they do?

Candidate Aidan Mikhail ran in the by-election and was the person mentioned to have done the 2 class talks. He did not put the posters up due to a misunderstanding regarding campaigning rules during voting. He tried once it was clarified but was unable to get them up on time. He had done constant updating on Instagram and informed people the process of voting. He also did some canvassing around SSC and Reynolds building.

Candidate Tiffany Mares ran in the by-election. She did not put up posters but engaged with students in other ways by reaching out online and sharing information through existing campus networks. She stated that even without putting posters, she earned the majority of student votes, demonstrating her commitment and student support for her platform.

Member Johnston inquired why the candidates want to join the board.

Candidate Tiffany outlined a plan to increase support and funding for student clubs through SEIF to encourage quality events and make it easier to start new clubs. She emphasized her commitment to equity, representation, accessibility, and financial equity from her experience as President of Guelph Women in Computer Science to amplify underrepresented voices and create inclusive spaces in STEM. She also highlighted the importance of promoting CSA services, particularly those supporting student well-being such as the Menstrual Hygiene Initiative, Free Flow Market, and SafeWalk, noting that

MINUTES

Board of Directors Meeting # 10
November 12, 2025 – 6:00 pm
Microsoft Teams



SafeWalk is especially valuable yet underutilized and should be more widely promoted so all students can feel safe on campus.

Candidate Aidan stated that he wants to focus on improving student funding both for clubs and for individual students, arguing that while SEIF is a strong initiative, additional supports are needed. He proposed implementing a semesterly funding model for clubs, similar to other student unions, providing small but consistent grants, and expressed interest in working with finance and SEIF to make this possible. He also highlighted transit as a major issue for students, noting overcrowded buses that affect class attendance, and said he would like to help develop solutions through collaboration with the transit board. Additionally, he emphasized the importance of maintaining and better supporting initiatives such as SafeWalk, the FoodBank, and the Menstrual Hygiene Initiative, stressing that underuse of services like SafeWalk should lead to improved promotion rather than reduced funding.

Member Jacinto received the two individual emails from each candidate and wanted the candidates to reiterate on what they would do on the board.

Candidate Aidan explained that he emailed most board members outlining three main priorities: addressing transit issues that prevent students from attending classes and meetings due to overcrowded buses; improving student awareness and engagement with the CSA so more students know what their student union is doing; and introducing a semesterly funding model for clubs. He emphasized that many clubs are struggling and would benefit from consistent semester-based funding.

Candidate Tiffany explained that she emailed CSA board members on her campaign statement, introducing herself and outlining her extensive leadership experience within CCMPS. She highlighted her roles as President of Guelph Women in Computer Science, Vice President of Communications for CEPSSC, former Vice President of the Society of Computing and Information Science, founder of the Cloud Computing Club, and former member of the Computer Science Curriculum Committee where she advocated for student-focused academic decisions. She wants to expand SEIF funding and increase awareness of key CSA services such as the Menstrual Hygiene Initiative, SafeWalk, and SHAC.

Candidate Tiffany stated she plans to improve club accreditation by expanding funding and support through SEIF. She believes this would make students feel more empowered through campus-life.

Candidate Aidan stated he plans to improve club accreditation through the appointment of a semesterly fund, like other student unions. He stated that many clubs go into events not knowing whether they will receive SEIF funding. He has experience working as the

MINUTES

Board of Directors Meeting # 10
November 12, 2025 – 6:00 pm
Microsoft Teams



Director of External Affairs for Gryphon Gaming and knows how to make discussions with the university.

The candidates went into breakout rooms while the board discussed the appointment.

Member Warren stated both candidates had good points but believed that we should respect the decision of the voters and choose the candidate with the highest number of votes, which is Tiffany.

Member Greenhut questioned whether we must appoint either of them or alternatively have the choice of neither of them.

The PTC stated that you select one candidate option or abstain as we have done in the past.

The Chair elaborated that an abstention on the vote would be a decline of both functionally and as a result, if there is a mass abstention, we would have a different conversation.

Member Johnston stated both candidates have compelling ideas, but stated Tiffany had a more significant number of votes and believes Tiffany has a better platform. Member Johnston stated there are some implementation issues with Aidan's idea as we would be giving funding for clubs for just existing.

Member Jacinto raised concerns about Aidan's suggestion on a stricter club accreditation, reducing the number of student clubs, increasing the workload of the clubs committee, and introducing semesterly or yearly funding for each club. He stated these changes would not improve student engagement at the university and expresses concern about potential misuse of funds. He noted a similar system exists at the University of Waterloo but stressed that strong safeguards against embezzlement would be necessary.

Member Greenhut stated that as a member of ERC, he urged everyone to abstain as there were issues in the past with members who campaigned and failed to attend meetings, affecting quorum. He stated that Tiffany did not return her budget envelope until many more emails. Member Greenhut mentioned that Aidan still had a week of campaigning after the misunderstanding was cleared up. He believed that the candidates have not shown their duty to represent the board's mandate.

Member Warren stated that member Greenhut made some valid concerns but disagreed with the decision to abstain. There are members who can vouch for Tiffany's experience with student government which require significant amounts of dutifulness and integrity. At the end of the day, Tiffany got more votes and she campaigned through her own network.

MINUTES

Board of Directors Meeting # 10
November 12, 2025 – 6:00 pm
Microsoft Teams



Member Lazebnik also sits on the ERC and supported member Greenhut's decision. When students are voting, we cannot assert confidently that people vote for the candidate themselves, they may vote to win a prize, etc. Member Lazebnik stated the candidates were not very responsive or communicative.

Member Hallett stated that we should not get into the semantics of the voting and recognized the errors of the candidates.

Member Song believed that every vote of the students should count. We should not dilute their vote just because we give out incentives.

Member Ames stated the CSA has issues reaching quorum and candidates reaching quorum should not be seen as a negative thing.

Member Greenhut clarified that his point is not about quorum, rather that these members violated their agreements and in a normal election, they would have been disqualified according to CSA's rules. There were many emails sent by the CRO with no response or lack of from the candidates, we need members of the board who are responsive.

Member Warren stated that in response to member Greenhut, he still believed we should prioritize the democratic will of the college. As well, we should consider the nature of such violations, the candidate did less work but still earned more votes. He is in favour of democracy and believes we should vote.

Member Greenhut asked what the responsibility of the board members are, specifically around fiduciary duties.

The Chair responded that to be a fiduciary board member are to act in a position of trust and act in the best interest of the organization. The expectation is that while a member may hold affinity with other organizations, any instance where there is cross-pollination, they hold the board's above all else. There is a duty of care, a duty of loyalty, and a duty of obedience. A duty of care is what most would commonly hear phrased as act as any other reasonably prudent person would. A duty of loyalty is acting underneath all the organization's governing documents or directions. A duty of obedience is to the law and broader governing context that influences corporations.

MOTION: to call the question

Moved: Ash Ames

Seconded: Ethan Warren

Motion Carried

MINUTES

Board of Directors Meeting # 10
November 12, 2025 – 6:00 pm
Microsoft Teams



Candidate Tiffany Mares received a simple majority via secret ballot vote.

Member Greenhut asked why candidate Michael Spurek did not run in the election but decided to in the appointment process.

Candidate Michael did not run in the election as at that time he was unsure if he would meet the time and commitment requirements. As he has now been acquainted with his labs and course load in engineering, he realized how much he cares about advocating for engineering students and the community. He feels ready to bring forward student concerns and represent the college effectively.

The candidate went into a breakout room while the board discussed the appointment.

Member Hallett believed we should not debate about this as there is only one candidate for this position. We should prioritize other items in the agenda.

Member Johnston agreed with member Hallett, and we should vote in favour for Michael.

Candidate Michael Spurek received a simple majority via secret ballot vote.

Member Warren asked the candidates why they choose or not choose to run in the portions of the election process they ran in. What methods did they use in campaigning.

Candidate Asmaa Alsayed was not in the meeting during this time.

Candidate André Rowe did not run in the election as it was not in their radar. They had a peer recommend them to run and receive the information about becoming a director on an Instagram post. André stated that there is a gap of representation for the college and believes in the voice of the people and hears many concerns from his classmates. He has done class talks and reached to his personal network about changes they would like to see and what they want to be represented.

Candidate Samiya Shakeel chose to run in the election initially because they are interested in making student life engaging and fun. They are pursuing a Masters in Guelph and want to prioritize students. She attempted to do class talks, however many professors said no as it was during mid-term season. She was able to get over 200 votes.

Member Greenhut asked about the candidates' priorities.

Candidate Samiya believed that SafeWalk is an underused tool on-campus. As a woman who goes home late, she wanted to push SafeWalk for students, especially first-years who may be intoxicated. She also wanted to push the late-night bus program for

MINUTES

Board of Directors Meeting # 10
November 12, 2025 – 6:00 pm
Microsoft Teams



students. She emphasized how there is a lack of people from her college participating in CSA and hopes to see more representation.

Candidate André volunteered at the local food bank in Guelph and learned that people do not access such resources is because they are unaware. He stated he plans to increase awareness of all resources available, specifically mental health and the CSA's food bank. He believes there is room for improvement for the feedback process and that there are better ways to disseminate information more.

Member Johnston asked the candidates how they can reach more students.

Candidate André referred back to his experience at the food bank and as a nutrition student. He learned about the barriers of accessing these resources and referred to his experience in his thesis, citing his organizational skills.

Candidate Samiya had experience with student government in high school and planning large-scale events. She believes that people in their college do not have interest in CSA, and as a woman of colour, she believes she can provide unique perspectives representing the minority voices in her college.

Member Ames informed the board that the absent candidate just joined the meeting.

Member Greenhut asked the summary of all the questions so far to candidate Asmaa.

Candidate Asmaa wants to join the CSA to have a more direct impact on campus and help improve student life, emphasizing that the CSA is made up of students working for students. They were motivated by the positive impact CSA services had on them in first year, such as access to printing and menstrual products. She believes she can bring a diverse perspective and want to increase awareness and involvement within their college, where student engagement is currently low.

The candidates went into breakout rooms while the board discussed the appointment.

Member Warren stated that Samiya got a large number of votes and should be voted in. He is voting for the candidates that were prepared and on time.

Member Newman stated all candidates made valid points but respect the candidates that showed up in a timely manner. There is a need for members to attend meetings due to past issues with quorum. He asked if there were any votes from the other candidates.

The President clarified that Samiya was the only one to participate in the fall by-election and she received 214 votes.

MINUTES

Board of Directors Meeting # 10
November 12, 2025 – 6:00 pm
Microsoft Teams



Member Ames said they are an acquaintance of Asmaa and explained they did not realize they could attend the meeting and contribute to the conversation. They explained Asmaa had an essay due tonight. As directors we know ahead of time of meetings and book time off, Asmaa was not aware until earlier today.

MOTION: to call the question

Moved: Marcus-Aldred Ganhao

Seconded: Jonah Greenhut

Motion Carried

Candidates Samiya and André received a simple majority via secret ballot vote.

Member Greenhut asked the LANG candidates why they are running for the appointment process and what they hope to bring to the CSA.

Candidate Logan MacInnis requested an election package but was working on a family restaurant project. The restaurant opened during the nomination period, and he did not have the opportunity to fill in the package. He attended the previous CSA meeting with member Yu to experience how the board operates. He previously served as the student trustee for his school board and put hundreds of hours into it in high school. He hopes to bring this level of passion back at the university level.

Candidate Holly Martin was not present at the meeting this time.

The PTC clarified that Holly was present earlier but had to depart as this item was taking longer than anticipated to get to.

The candidate went into a breakout room while the board discussed the appointment.

MOTION: to call the question

Moved: Ethan Warren

Seconded: Marcus-Aldred Ganhao

Motion Carried

Candidates Logan and Holly received a simple majority via secret ballot vote.

MINUTES

Board of Directors Meeting # 10
November 12, 2025 – 6:00 pm
Microsoft Teams



10.11.3 CSA Audited Statements 2024-2025

(a) Speaking Rights: Business Manager

WHEREAS CSA Rules of Order section 3.3 states that visitors may be extended speaking rights following a motion to the Board;

BE IT RESOLVED to extend speaking rights to Lee Anne Clarke, Business Manager, to present the CSA Audited Financial Statements;

AND FURTHER to extend speaking time from 10 to 30 minutes for this presentation.

Moved: Jonah Greenhut

Seconded: Keira Gayowsky

Motion Carried

(b) Approval of CSA Audited Statements 2024-2025

MOTION: That the presentation by Lee Anne Clarke, Business Manager, regarding the CSA Audited Financial Statements for the Year Ended April 30, 2025, be received as information by the Board of Directors;

FURTHER that the CSA Audited Financial Statements for the Year Ended April 30, 2025 be approved by the Board of Directors;

AND FURTHER that the CSA Audited Financial Statements for the Year Ended April 30, 2025 be presented to the CSA membership as information at the 2025-2026 Annual General Meeting.

Moved: Noel Johnston

Seconded: Branden Newman

Lee Anne Clarke presented the audited financial statements for the year ending April 30, 2025, on behalf of the Finance Committee, which met the previous week and voted to recommend the statements for board approval. She noted that the CSA is now fully caught up after previously approving two sets of audits, and that once approved by the Board, the statements will be finalized and presented to the membership at the AGM next week. As a not-for-profit with annual revenue over \$500,000, the CSA is required to undergo an annual audit and appoint an auditor at the AGM. The audit process takes about 6 months and includes four stages:

MINUTES

Board of Directors Meeting # 10
November 12, 2025 – 6:00 pm
Microsoft Teams



-
- A planning stage requiring roughly 100 hours of preparation by staff.
 - On-site work by BDO Canada, involving 2 accountants over 5–6 business days, reviewing documents, testing procedures, and explaining any 5–10% variances in budget accounts.
 - Review of findings by senior BDO partners, with back-and-forth clarification until an audit opinion is formed.
 - Approval of the audited statements by the board.

The independent auditor's report provides a qualified opinion, which is common for not-for-profits. The qualification relates to revenues from sales, sundry, and fundraising that cannot be independently verified beyond internal records, and to the cannon.ca partnership with the Guelph campus co-op. The CSA's 50% share of that partnership at the time of the audit was \$53,502, matched by the co-op. The auditors confirmed that the financial statements fairly present the CSA's financial position as of April 30, 2025, in accordance with Canadian accounting standards for not-for-profit organizations and identified no significant deficiencies in internal controls. The statement of financial position shows: total assets: \$8,092,018, total liabilities: \$3,153,846, net assets: \$4,938,172. Many net assets are restricted or invested in capital assets. The key indicator of financial health is unrestricted net assets, totaling \$1,890,921, which ensures cash flow through mid-October until the next student fee allotment. The CSA requires approximately \$1,055,000 on hand at year-end to maintain operations and meet financial bylaws; including the requirement to reserve 15%. Any excess beyond this amount is available for new initiatives, which are reviewed by the Finance Committee. In conclusion, BDO confirmed that the CSA's accounting practices are sound, revenues and expenses are properly recorded, funds are used according to policy, and the CSA is financially stable and able to continue as a going concern.

Motion Carried

10.11.4 Receive 2025-2026 Annual General Meeting Agenda

Note: The draft Audited Statements document for the year ended April 30, 2025 will be replaced in the AGM agenda package with the final Audited Statements document once received from the auditor following approval from the Board of Directors.

MOTION: that the Board of Directors receive the 2025-2026 Annual General Meeting Agenda as information.

Moved: Jonah Greenhut

Seconded: Yael Lazebnik

MINUTES

Board of Directors Meeting # 10
November 12, 2025 – 6:00 pm
Microsoft Teams



Motion Carried

10.11.5 Appoint General Member to Statement Making Committee

WHEREAS due to a resignation, there are two vacancies for General Members on the CSA Statement Making Committee;

BE IT RESOLVED that the following General Member of the CSA be appointed to the Statement Making Committee for the 2025-2026 academic year:

Yasmin Atiyeh

Moved: Keira Gayowsky
Seconded: Noel Johnston

Motion Carried

10.11.6 Member's Motion: Presentation re. SSI Event

(a) Speaking Rights

WHEREAS CSA Rules of Order, Section 3.3 states that visitors can be extended speaking rights following a motion passed by the Board of Directors;

BE IT RESOLVED that speaking rights be extended to Omer Asif (Muslim Student Association), Rema Abdullah (Muslim Student Association), and Dania Kridli (Guelph Palestinian Student Association) for a presentation; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED to extend speaking time from 10 to 30 minutes for this presentation.

Moved: Ash Ames
Seconded: Ava Augustine

Member Warren felt that someone from SSI should have been here to speak as well but is not opposed to this presentation in principle.

Motion Carried

b) Receive Presentation

MINUTES

Board of Directors Meeting # 10
November 12, 2025 – 6:00 pm
Microsoft Teams



MOTION: That the presentation regarding the Students Supporting Israel event be received as information.

Moved: Ash Ames

Seconded: Ava Augustine

Motion Carried

Rema Abdullah, speaking on behalf of the Muslim Students Association (MSA) and in collaboration with other student organizations proposed a formal motion regarding the November 5th event “Voices of the IDF” hosted by Students Supporting Israel (SSI). The motion calls for the removal of the CSA’s statement that validated the event, arguing that a majority of the student body opposes normalizing or platforming individuals complicit in ongoing violence and collective punishment against Palestinians. She asked the CSA to refer the issue to the Statement Making Committee and draft a new statement in consultation with affected student groups, including the MSA, GPSA, and MESA.

Omer Asif, President of the Muslim Students Association, expressed deep concern and disappointment over the approval of the event, which featured active members of the Israeli Defense Force (IDF). He argued that the IDF is not a neutral entity and has been widely condemned by international human rights organizations for war crimes and violations of international law. He cited findings from the International Court of Justice (ICJ) that describe Israel’s actions in Gaza as a plausible genocide. Omer stressed that hosting IDF members under the university and CSA banner, whether the event was virtual or in-person, still constitutes institutional endorsement. He highlighted the harm this causes to Palestinian, Muslim, Arab students, and the wider student body, and pointed out that it was a double standard, noting that hosting members of other internationally condemned groups would never be permitted. He referenced a petition signed by approximately 3,000 students opposing the event and criticized the CSA’s decision-making process.

Dania Kridli, speaking on behalf of the Guelph Palestinian Student Association (GPSA) and supported by the Iraqi, Afghan, Egyptian, Middle Eastern, and Muslim Student Associations, as well as Amnesty Guelph, reinforced these concerns. She stated that allowing and later condoning the event was a moral and political failure, not a neutral act. She emphasized that the event featured two active IDF soldiers during an ongoing genocide recognized by international courts and organizations. Dania cited that over 69,000 Palestinians have been killed in Gaza over the past two years, with entire families wiped out, hospitals destroyed, and schools demolished, many of the affected being family members of students on campus. In response to the event, the GPSA and allied groups organized a petition that gathered over 3,200 signatures from students, faculty,

MINUTES

Board of Directors Meeting # 10
November 12, 2025 – 6:00 pm
Microsoft Teams



alumni, and community members, calling for the event's cancellation and for the university to distance itself from SSI. Despite this overwhelming opposition, she stated the CSA maintained a statement validating the event under the language of inclusivity and free expression, which Dania said it dismissed legitimate concerns and treated genocide as a matter of differing perspectives. Dania referenced the ICJ's January 2024 ruling, which recognized Israel's actions in Gaza as plausibly constituting genocide and ordered provisional measures, as well as documentation from the United Nations Human Rights Council, Amnesty International, and Human Rights Watch detailing collective punishment, attacks on civilians, and obstruction of humanitarian aid. She concluded that endorsing such an event is incompatible with the CSA's responsibility to ensure student safety, empathy, and human rights.

Member Warren thanked the speakers for their presentation but asked where the line is drawn; what is allowed for people to agree and disagree on.

The Chair encouraged everyone not to lead conversations to rabbit holes and unnecessary disagreements that we as students are not prepared to answer.

Rema Abdullah understood the concerns about finding a quick solution; however, she explained that it is not their intention, rather it's to have the CSA recognize their voices and concerns. She hopes the CSA will draft a new statement that is made in consultation with the student body being deeply affected by this to show accountability.

Dania Kridli thanked Rema for covering most of her points and acknowledges Ethan's concerns but emphasized that tone matters in these discussions. She stressed that this issue is about student safety and trauma, involving a foreign military currently under global investigation and condemnation for genocide. Dania argued that framing the situation as a "difference of opinion" is inappropriate and insensitive. She compared it to the idea of inviting a member of an internationally condemned group like the Taliban, which would clearly be unacceptable. She stated that treating this issue as a debate rather than a humanitarian concern is out of touch and insulting to those affected.

The Chair urged everyone to be careful in their responses and avoid making accusatory interpretations about others' questions or viewpoints. He acknowledged the sensitivity of the topic and that it affects some people more deeply than others but asks that the discussion stay focused on the presentation and the proposed next step of referring the issue to the Statement Making Committee.

Member Lazebnik wondered if we could extend speaking rights to all the guests present to ask questions.

The Chair confirmed that it is in the power of the board.

MINUTES

Board of Directors Meeting # 10
November 12, 2025 – 6:00 pm
Microsoft Teams



Member Hallett extended their gratitude to the speakers voicing their concerns and commitment to being here today. They asked if a tangible number of students in their organizations have had family members or acquaintances who have experienced violence; has this event evoked trauma for students about family who remain in Gaza and the West Bank. They could only imagine devastation and thanked them for their bravery and eloquence.

Rema Abdullah affirmed member Hallett's question and further stated they themselves as well as people they know that are currently in the Gaza Strip. It is not having soldiers physically on-campus that hurt; it is the people who normalize this as okay or debate about this. She stated that she would not trust this institution if it continued to make this an unsafe space.

Dania Kridli stated every Palestinian they have met has been connected to the atrocities committed by the IDF. As Rema stated, as an educational institution, we should not give the IDF a platform to glorify their propaganda and justify their actions.

The VP Academic thanked all the presenters for being here today. They stated that the CSA's original statement was put out as an interim measure to ensure that the student body was aware of the situation, and so was the CSA. It was likely that the CSA could not say more than that due to the bylaws that restrict them in making statements without going to the Statement Making Committee. They asked beyond the institutional statement from the board, what do they think the CSA could do better to support Palestinian students at the university.

Rema Abdullah thanked the VP Academic for addressing the context behind CSA's original statement. She stated that CSA can be more explicit in stating the event has deep concerns and will be reviewed, rather than an unstable solution.

Dania Kridli stated that in the future, CSA should allow the GPSA a larger role in allowing their voices to be heard.

Member Shakeel stressed that this event went through SE&RM, which means Student Experience signed off on this. It is not in the purview of the CSA to reject events that they are taking reasonable actions to remain safe. She understands how the speakers must feel it is incredibly unsafe but noted that it was best to protest against the event itself instead of cancelling it to send a larger message.

MOTION: to call the question

Moved: Yael Lazebnik

Seconded: Susannah Polack-Finley

Motion Carried

MINUTES

Board of Directors Meeting # 10
November 12, 2025 – 6:00 pm
Microsoft Teams



10.11.7 Member's Motion: Referral to Statement Making Committee re. SSI Event

WHEREAS the CSA-accredited club Student Supporting Israel (SSI) held an event on Wednesday, November 5 titled "Voices of IDF";

WHEREAS this event hosted members of the Israeli Military (IDF) to share their personal experiences and stories;

WHEREAS the Central Student Association's allowance of this event has brought disrepute on our organization and has been condemned by multiple student groups [1], including those personally affected by the actions of the Israeli Military;

WHEREAS a petition against the event received over 3000 signatures [2];

WHEREAS the CSA mandate states that we will 'Represent and amplify the voice of undergraduate students at the University of Guelph,' and 'Contribute to a positive atmosphere of acceptance, inclusion, belonging and safety on campus'[3]; and

WHEREAS a statement released on the csaguelph Instagram on November 5 addressing the event, focused on the event's relation to CSA policies and emphasized the CSA supporting a "respectful" campus environment, perpetuating the idea that the Central Student Association does not care about Palestinian and Palestine-supporting student's concerns;

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Directors receive the attachments as information;

AND FURTHER that the Central Student Association refer this issue to the Statement Making Committee in order to draft a new statement about this event to be made in consultation with affected student groups (including MSA, GPSA and MESA) to be presented to the CSA at or before the CSA board meeting on January 14, 2026.

1. <https://www.instagram.com/p/DQp-ssNDfsv>
2. <https://www.change.org/p/stop-the-voices-of-idf-event-at-the-university-of-guelph>
3. <https://csaonline.ca/about/csa-mandate/>

Moved: Ash Ames

Seconded: Rebecca Hallett

Motion Carried

Member Ames explained that they brought forward the motion because they believe the CSA must issue a new response to the SSI event that took place last Wednesday. They

MINUTES

Board of Directors Meeting # 10
November 12, 2025 – 6:00 pm
Microsoft Teams



argued that the event harmed members of the campus community and damaged the CSA's reputation, as it involved a CSA-accredited club hosting a soldier from a military whose leaders are wanted by the International Criminal Court, despite Canada stating it would follow the ICC statute. They stated this shows a disregard for the impact that the military's actions have had on the Palestinian people. Member Ames stated that students are asking why the event was approved and what it means for the campus community. They highlighted concerns around campus security being photographed tearing down approved protest posters from CSA poster boards, which made students feel that those in power were acting against them and that their voices were being silenced. As a result, students feel unrepresented and unsafe, and fear being forced to listen to members of a military that has destroyed their homes justify those actions on a CSA-sanctioned platform. Member Ames emphasized that the CSA's mandate is also to support students, represent undergraduate voices, and uphold inclusion, belonging, and safety. Because the CSA cannot undo the event or discipline the club under existing policy, Member Ames argued that the CSA still has a responsibility to address the situation directly and politically honestly. They requested that the issue be referred to the Statement Making Committee and that the CSA draft a new statement that fully addresses the concerns raised by the Voices of the IDF event in a more appropriate and comprehensive way.

MOTION: to move *in-camera*

Moved: Noel Johnston

Seconded: Alex Song

Member Greenhut asked what a protected minority of three provision is.

The Chair explained that the protected minority of three is a provision within your governing documents that require a motion to move in camera. If it isn't related to one of the four statutory requirements that you have also in your governing documents, that needs to be a near unanimous decision from the board to move it in-camera.

Motion Defeated

MOTION: to extend speaking rights to the guests present.

Moved: Yael Lazebnik

Seconded: Ethan Warren

Member Lazebnik stated the presentation was very insightful, however, as it does concern a student club, she believes it is fair to allow students who represented that club to have a chance to defend themselves and provide additional insight.

MINUTES

Board of Directors Meeting # 10
November 12, 2025 – 6:00 pm
Microsoft Teams



Motion Carried

MOTION: to prioritize directors' speaking time over guest-speakers.

Moved: Noel Johnston

Seconded: Alex Song

Motion Carried

Member Johnston explained that while outside input is valuable, board directors should be given priority in speaking because they are the elected and ratified representatives responsible for speaking on behalf of the organization. With nearly 70 people present, allowing everyone to speak could overwhelm the discussion, bury directors' perspectives, and cause the meeting to run out of time before most directors have a chance to speak.

Member Greenhut stated he is concerned about time constraints, noting there is about one hour left and questioned whether a meaningful discussion can happen. He suggested holding an emergency board meeting focused solely on this motion. If that is not possible, he recommended either postponing the discussion or allowing everyone an equal chance to speak, especially since many people have already waited a long time to share their views.

Member Warren concurred with member Greenhut as this time constraint would not do this conversation justice. He asked if this motion can be proposed to an emergency meeting.

Member Hallett found it difficult the debate about the suffering and trauma of students on-campus. They do not see this as a matter of debate, it is basic empathy to say we acknowledge that people were hurt, that we messed up and need to do better.

Member Greenhut asked that even if directors' speaking rights are prioritized, can they give their time to the guest speakers.

The Chair explained that a board member can transfer their speaking rights to another person, but doing so has two consequences. First, it uses up the member's speaking turn, meaning they will be moved to a later priority when they want to speak again. Second, in the meeting minutes, the remarks will be recorded under the board member's name, even though the words were spoken by the person they gave their speaking rights to.

MINUTES

Board of Directors Meeting # 10
November 12, 2025 – 6:00 pm
Microsoft Teams



Member Lazebnik stated that if this was any other issue, there would be no problem extending speaking rights to guests. It is democratic to allow other people to share their perspectives and insight to this situation.

Member Hallett asked what other perspectives are there, it seems blatant that students have been hurt by these traumatic experiences from their families and loved ones.

Member Augustine agreed with earlier comments and argued that, since the concerns were raised by specific guest presenters, the discussion should focus only on the issues they brought forward. They said that if other groups wanted to raise related concerns, they should have done so on their own initiative. Out of fairness and respect to the presenting groups, they believed their concerns should be addressed first and without opening broader debate.

Member Warren stated this is not about debating whether students were traumatized, the substance is more so debating what the CSA allows on-campus. As such, he believed that we should allow guests to speak as part of the larger goal to acknowledge different perspectives.

MOTION: to move to secret ballot.

Motion: Marcus Aldred-Ganhao

Seconded: Noel Johnston

Motion Defeated

Member Lazebnik stated that by extending speaking rights to the guests, we are just sharing the experiences of how other people were hurt. If there is a group accused of something, they should always have an opportunity to defend or explain themselves. In democracy and free speech, you should be able to hear other people's perspectives and opinions, even if they're different from your own.

MOTION: to call the question

Moved: Jonah Greenhut

Seconded: Ethan Warren

Motion Carried

Member Ames asked for clarification about the process, noting that referring the issue to the Statement Making Committee did not decide the content of any future statement, and it will take time to develop the statement. They suggested that individuals who still wanted to speak could place themselves on the agenda at a future board meeting.

MINUTES

Board of Directors Meeting # 10
November 12, 2025 – 6:00 pm
Microsoft Teams



The Chair responded that this was possible and explained that, if the motion passed, the Statement Making Committee would follow its standard process by conducting fulsome consultation with individuals and groups affected by the event, the broader circumstances, and any resulting statement.

Member Greenhut asked for clarification on whether the Statement Making Committee could currently meet, noting that it was unable to do so because it lacked a general member.

The PTC confirmed that this was correct, explaining that the committee's general member had resigned, which was why the appointment was on the agenda. The Chair then noted that there was an individual available on the agenda to be appointed, which staff confirmed. The Chair encouraged the Board to expedite the appointment within the remaining 33 minutes of the meeting so the committee could begin its work.

The Chair reminded the board that there had been a high number of abstentions on the motion. He explained that abstentions are meant for situations where a member lacks sufficient information to make an informed decision, not to avoid or absolve oneself from voting. For example, if a member arrived late to a meeting and missed earlier discussion, in which case abstaining would be appropriate. The Chair emphasized that directors should raise questions or points of information if they feel they lack the information needed to vote, rather than defaulting to abstaining.

The VP Academic stated that referring the issue to the Statement Making Committee was the least the organization could do in response to what presenters had shared. They emphasized the importance of producing a comprehensive and thoughtful statement and noted that much of the deeper discussion should take place within the committee, in consultation with affected student groups. The VP Academic acknowledged that many people had been hurt by the situation and clarified that the board was not debating the club or the event itself but rather considering how to address the issue through a formal statement to the student body.

Katelyn Weinstein asked whether the referral would include specific direction on the content of the statement, and whether discussing that content was relevant at this stage. The Chair responded that discussion should be confined strictly to what was explicitly included in the motion, as outlined in the "be it resolved" section of the agenda package on page 198.

MOTION: to call the question

Moved: Ethan Warren

Seconded: Marcus Aldred-Ganhao

Motion Carried

MINUTES

Board of Directors Meeting # 10
November 12, 2025 – 6:00 pm
Microsoft Teams



The Chair asked whether there were any objections to reopening the agenda in order to move directly to 10.11.5, due to the urgency to appoint the general member to the Statement Making Committee. He proceeded to item 10.11.5 after receiving unanimous consent.

10.12 New Business

No New Business was presented.

10.13 Announcements

No announcements were provided.

10.14 In Camera

No in-camera session was held.

10.15 Adjournment

MOTION: That the CSA Board of Directors Meeting # 10 on November 12, 2025 be adjourned at 10:57pm

Moved: Jonah Greenhut

Seconded: Ethan Warren

Motion Carried

MINUTES

Board of Directors Meeting # 10
November 12, 2025 – 6:00 pm
Microsoft Teams



Approved by the Board of Directors

Date: January 28, 2026

Signed: _____

Date: _____

Christopher Yendt
Board Chair

Signed: _____

Date: _____

Colleen Bovay
Policy & Transition Coordinator